

STEADY FLOW FOR INCOMPRESSIBLE FLUIDS IN DOMAINS WITH UNBOUNDED CURVED CHANNELS

MARCELO M. SANTOS

ABSTRACT. We give an overview on the solution of the stationary Navier-Stokes equations for non newtonian incompressible fluids established by G. Dias and M.M. Santos (*Steady flow for shear thickening fluids with arbitrary fluxes*, J. Differential Equations **252** (2012), no. 6, 3873-3898)*, propose a definition for domains with unbounded curved channels which encompasses domains with an unbounded boundary, domains with nozzles, and domains with a boundary being a punctured surface, and argue on the existence of steady flow for incompressible fluids with arbitrary fluxes in such domains.

1. SHEAR THICKENING FLUIDS

Consider the problem of solving the Navier-Stokes equations for a stationary incompressible fluid in a domain having unbounded outlets (channels), with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition. If the outlets are straight cylinders (strips, in the case of dimension two) and we ask that the fluid flow converges to given *Poiseuille flows* (parallel flows) in the ends of the outlets, the problem is known as *Leray problem*, and it was solved by C. Amick [1], under the condition that the fluxes of the given Poiseuille flows are sufficiently small. Instead of asking that the flow converges to Poiseuille flows in the ends of the outlets, O.A. Ladyzhenskaya and V.A. Solonnikov proposed and solved in [5] the problem of giving the flux of the fluid in each outlet. They solved this problem for arbitrary fluxes and with the outlets not being necessarily straight cylinders. Besides, their solution has the property that the *Dirichlet integral* of the velocity field of the fluid grows at most linearly with the direction of each outlet, and they also proved that this solution is unique under some additional smallness condition. See *Problem 1.1* and theorems 3.1, 2.5 and 5.5 in [5].

Let us set some notations. The fluid velocity is denoted by $\mathbf{v}$ and the symmetric part of the velocity gradient $\nabla \mathbf{v}$, by $D(\mathbf{v})$. In this section,

Accepted for publication in Bulletin of the Brazilian Mathematical Society (2015). Special Number: proceedings of HYP2014 - Fifteenth International Conference on Hyperbolic Problems: Theory, Numerics and Applications (<http://www.hyp2014.impa.br/>).

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 76D05, 76D03, 35Q30, 76D07.

Key words and phrases. steady flow, domain with nozzles, unbounded channels, unbounded boundary, Ladyzhenskaya-Solonnikov problem, non Newtonian fluids.

*Cf. ArXiv: 1108.3595.

we consider the fluid in an open and connect set Ω in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n = 2, 3$, with a C^∞ boundary, such that $\Omega = \bigcup_{i=0}^2 \Omega_i$, where Ω_0 is a bounded subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and, for $i = 1, 2$, in different cartesian coordinate system, $\Omega_i = \{x \equiv (x_1, x') \in \mathbb{R}^n; (-1)x_1 > 0, x' \in \Sigma_i(x_1)\}$, with $\Sigma_i(x_1)$ being a C^∞ simply connected open set in $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, and such that, for constants l_1, l_2 , $0 < l_1 < l_2 < \infty$, $\sup_{(-1)^i x_1 > 0} \text{diam } \Sigma_i(x_1) \leq l_2$ and Ω_i contains the cylinder $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; (-1)^i x_1 > 0 \text{ e } |x'| < l_1\}$. We denote by Σ , any cross section of Ω (i.e., any bounded intersection of Ω with a $(n-1)$ -dimensional plane), $\mathbf{n}$, the orthonormal vector to Σ pointing from Ω_1 toward Ω_2 , and by Ω_t , the truncated domain $\Omega_0 \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^2 \{x \in \Omega_i; (-1)^i x_1 < t\}$, $t > 0$, and $\Omega_{i,t-1,t} = \Omega_{i,t} \setminus \overline{\Omega_{i,t-1}}$. Also we shall use the notations: $p \geq 2$, $p' = p/(p-1)$ and $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ is the space of C_0^∞ divergence free vector fields defined in Ω .

Because the sets Ω_i contain straight cylinders they cannot make a turn, so we say that they are not curved channels. In Section 2 we propose a definition for domains with unbounded channels, which includes curved channels, and argue on the existence of steady flow for incompressible fluids with arbitrary fluxes in such domains.

In [3], the existence theorem [5, Theorem 3.1] was extended for incompressible non newtonian fluids with the viscous stress tensor giving by $|D(\mathbf{v})|^{p-2}D(\mathbf{v})$, in the case $p > 2$. That is, in [3, Theorem 2.2] we prove the following theorem:

Theorem 1.1. *For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p \geq 2$, the problem*

$$(1.1) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \text{div}\{|D(\mathbf{v})|^{p-2}D(\mathbf{v})\} &= \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} + \nabla \mathcal{P} \quad \text{in } \Omega \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} &= 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \\ \mathbf{v} &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \\ \int_{\Sigma} \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n} &= \alpha \\ \sup_{t>0} t^{-1} \int_{\Omega_t} |\nabla \mathbf{v}|^p &< \infty. \end{array} \right.$$

has a weak solution $(\mathbf{v}, \mathcal{P})$ in $W_{loc}^{1,p}(\overline{\Omega}) \times L_{loc}^p(\overline{\Omega})$.

The proof extends the technique of [5], which first solves the Navier-Stokes equations in the truncated bounded domain Ω_t and then takes the limit with respect to the truncation parameter t when t goes to infinity. As in [7] and [5], and in several subsequent papers, the velocity field $\mathbf{v}$ is sought in the form $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{a}$, where $\mathbf{u}$ is the new unknown with zero flux and $\mathbf{a} \equiv \mathbf{a}(x, \delta)$, $x \in \Omega$, is a constructed divergence free vector field, depending on the parameter $\delta > 0$, with flux α and some other important properties. Among these properties satisfied by $\mathbf{a}$, the property that assure that, for some constant c independent of δ , we have that $\int_{\Omega_t} |\mathbf{u}|^2 |\mathbf{a}|^2 \leq c\delta^2 \int_{\Omega_t} |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2$ for all $t > 0$ and all divergence free vector field $\mathbf{u} \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$, plays an essencial role in the analysis. Indeed, using it it is possible to obtain a priori uniform estimates in $\|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}$ for solutions $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{a}$ of problem (1.1). For the non newtonian fluids considered here, i.e. $p > 2$, it turns out that the construction of

the vector field $\mathbf{a}$ can be quite simplified. Let $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}$ be a smooth divergence free vector field, which is bounded and has bounded derivatives in $\overline{\Omega}$, vanishes on $\partial\Omega$, and has flux one, i.e. $\int_{\Sigma} \tilde{\mathbf{a}} = 1$ over any cross section Σ of Ω . Then, given $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, the vector field $\mathbf{a} = \alpha \tilde{\mathbf{a}}$ is a vector field preserving all these properties but having flux α and else satisfying the following estimates, for some constant c depending only on $\mathbf{a}$, p and Ω :

- i) $\int_{\Omega_t} |\mathbf{a}|^{p'} |\varphi|^{p'} \leq c t^{(p-2)/(p-1)} \|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^p(\Omega_t)}^{p'}, \quad \forall t > 0, \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega);$
- ii) $\int_{\Omega_{i,t-1,t}} |\nabla \mathbf{a}|^p \leq c, \quad \forall t \geq 1, \quad i = 1, 2;$
- iii) $\int_{\Omega_t} |\nabla \mathbf{a}|^p \leq c(t+1), \quad \forall t \geq 1.$

For the construction of the vector field $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}$ and the proof of properties i)-iii), see Lemma 2.1 in [3].

In order to obtain a regular solution in Ω_t , say with $\mathbf{v}$ in a Sobolev space $W^{2,l}(\Omega_t)$, for some positive number l , we consider the Navier-Stokes equations in Ω_t with the stress tensor modified to $\frac{1}{t} + |D(\mathbf{v})|^{p-2} D(\mathbf{v})$. More precisely, we have the following proposition [3, Proposition 4.1]:

Proposition 1.2. *Let $p \geq 2$ and $\mathbf{a}$ a vector field as described above, given by [3, Lemma 2.1]. Then, for any $t > 0$, the problem*

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{cases} \operatorname{div}\left\{\left(\frac{1}{t} + |D(\mathbf{v})|^{p-2}\right) D(\mathbf{v})\right\} = \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} + \nabla \mathcal{P} & \text{in } \Omega_t \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_t \\ \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{a} & \text{on } \partial\Omega_t \end{cases}$$

has a weak solution $(\mathbf{v}^t, \mathcal{P}^t) \in W^{1,p}(\Omega_t) \times L^p(\Omega_t)$ such that, for some $l > 0$, it belongs to $W^{2,l}(\Omega_\tau) \times W^{1,l}(\Omega_\tau)$ for any $\tau \in (0, t)$.

The weak solution in $W^{1,p}(\Omega_t) \times L^p(\Omega_t)$ of problem 1.2 is obtained by the Galerkin method and the Browder-Minty method, taking into account the following well known estimate $\langle |x|^{p-2}x - |y|^{p-2}y, x - y \rangle \geq c_1|x - y|^2 (|x|^{p-2} + |y|^{p-2}) \geq c_2|x - y|^p$ valid for $p \geq 2$, constants c_1, c_2 , and for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and Korn's inequality [6]*. Regarding the regularity $(\mathbf{v}^t, \mathcal{P}^t) \in W^{2,l}(\Omega_\tau) \times W^{1,l}(\Omega_\tau)$, for any $\tau \in (0, t)$, it is obtained by the proof of Theorem 1.2 in [2]. The fact that we do not have here the homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition $\mathbf{v} = 0$ in the whole boundary $\partial\Omega_t$ does not affect the method given in [2] to obtain $(\mathbf{v}^t, \mathcal{P}^t) \in W^{2,l}(\Omega_\tau) \times W^{1,l}(\Omega_\tau)$, for any $\tau \in (0, t)$, because $\mathbf{a} = 0$ in $\partial\Omega_\tau \cap \partial\Omega$ and $\partial\Omega_\tau \cap \Omega$ is interior to Ω_t , for $\tau \in (0, t)$.

With Proposition 1.2, the next step in the proof of the Theorem 1.1, following [5], is to estimate $\|\nabla(\mathbf{v}^t - \mathbf{a})\|_{L^p(\Omega_\tau)}$ uniformly with respect to $t > \tau$. This is achieved by the energy method with the help of Korn's inequality [6] and Poincaré's inequality (see [4, p.56]). Indeed, multiplying the Navier-Stokes equation by $\mathbf{u}^t = \mathbf{v}^t - \mathbf{a}$ and integrating by parts in Ω_τ , if we set $z(\eta) = \int_{\eta-1}^\eta y(\tau) d\tau$, $\eta > 1$,

*In [6], Korn's inequality is stated for dimension three. The result in dimension two can be obtained from the one in dimension three by extending the domain $U \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ to $U \times (0, 1)$ and the vector field $\mathbf{v} : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ to $(\mathbf{v}, 0) : U \times (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$.

$y(\tau) = \frac{1}{\tau} |\nabla \mathbf{u}^t|_{L^2(\Omega_\tau)}^2 + |\nabla \mathbf{u}^t|_{L^p(\Omega_\tau)}^p$, $\tau > 0$, $t \geq \tau + 1$, after a lengthy computation, we arrive at $z(\eta) \leq c\eta + \Psi(z'(\eta))$ for a positive constant c and a nice function Ψ . Then by a type of reverse Gronwall lemma [5, Lemma 2.3] (see [3, Lemma 3.1]) it is possible to conclude that $y(\tau) = \frac{1}{\tau} |\nabla \mathbf{u}^t|_{L^2(\Omega_\tau)}^2 + |\nabla \mathbf{u}^t|_{L^p(\Omega_\tau)}^p \leq c_1\tau + c_2$, for some constants c_1, c_2 and all $\tau > 0$ and $t \geq \tau + 1$. From this estimate, by a diagonalization process, weak convergence techniques and the Browder-Minty method, we obtain a solution to the problem (1.1). For the details, see [3].

2. CURVED CHANNELS

As we mentioned in Section 1, in this section we propose a definition for domains with unbounded channels not necessarily containing straight cylinders and give an idea how to show the existence of steady flow for incompressible fluids with arbitrary fluxes in such domains. More precisely, using some concepts from Geometry, we argue below that the following statement is true:

Let $\overline{\Omega}$ be a smooth n -manifold with boundary in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n = 2, 3$, with a finite number of ends $\Omega_{(i)}$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, $2 \leq k < \infty$, i.e., $\overline{\Omega}$ is diffeomorphic to a compact smooth n -manifold with boundary embedded in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with k points removed from its boundary. Suppose that the volumes of the cut domains Ω_t (defined below) are of order t . Then, given any set of real numbers α_i , $i = 1, \dots, k$, such that $\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_k = 0$, the Navier-Stokes equations in (1.1), with $p > 2$, and $\Omega = \overline{\Omega} - \partial\overline{\Omega}$, has a weak solution $\mathbf{v}$ in $W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ having flux α_i in $\Omega_{(i)}$, for each $i = 1, \dots, k$, and satisfying the Dirichlet homogeneous boundary condition $\mathbf{v}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$.

Next we give more details about this statement and then give an idea for its proof.

Let $\overline{\Omega}$ be a smooth n -manifold with boundary as above. Then, by definition, there is a compact smooth n -manifold with boundary $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$ embedded in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n = 2, 3$, and a diffeomorphism $H : \overline{\mathcal{B}} - \{p_1, \dots, p_k\} \rightarrow \overline{\Omega}$, where $p_1, \dots, p_k$ are given points in $\partial\overline{\mathcal{B}}$ ($k < \infty$). Denote $\mathcal{B} = \overline{\mathcal{B}} - \partial\overline{\mathcal{B}}$, $\mathcal{M} = \partial\mathcal{B} - \{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$ and $\mathcal{S} = \partial\Omega = \partial\overline{\Omega}$, where $\Omega = \overline{\Omega} - \partial\overline{\Omega}$. Then $h := H|_{\mathcal{M}}$ is a diffeomorphism from $\mathcal{M}$ onto $\mathcal{S}$, so $\mathcal{S}$ is a punctured $(n-1)$ -manifold, or, a $(n-1)$ -manifold with a finite number of ends $\Omega_{(i)}$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, where we define an end $\Omega_{(i)}$ of Ω as follows: $\Omega_{(i)}$ is the image by H of the intersection of $\mathcal{B}$ with an open ball $B_\varepsilon(p_i)$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ centered at p_i with radius ε_i , sufficiently small such that $\mathcal{B} \cap B_\varepsilon(p_i)$ is a simply connected set. We denote this intersection by $V_{\varepsilon_i}(p_i)$. Thus, $\Omega_{(i)} := H(V_{\varepsilon_i}(p_i)) = H(\mathcal{B} \cap B_\varepsilon(p_i))$. In particular, $\Omega_{(i)}$ is an open and simply connected set in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Similarly, we define an end $\mathcal{S}_{(i)}$ of $\mathcal{S}$ as the image by h of $\mathcal{M} \cap \partial V_{\varepsilon_i}(p_i)$. When $n = 3$, $\mathcal{S}_{(i)}$ is a connected smooth surface (possibly unbounded) and when $n = 2$, $\mathcal{S}_{(i)}$ is the union of two smooth curves. Notice that, since $\mathcal{M}$ does not contain the point p_i ,

$\mathcal{M} \cap \partial V_{\varepsilon_i}(p_i)$ is connected when $n = 3$, but is the union of two disjoint pieces of a curve when $n = 2$. Now we define cross sections of $\Omega_{(i)}$ and the cut domains Ω_t of Ω , for $t \geq 1$. We define a cross section $\Sigma(t) \equiv \Sigma_i(t)$ of $\Omega_{(i)}$, as the image of $\mathcal{B} \cap \partial V_{t^{-1}\varepsilon_i}(p_i)$ by H . Notice that $V_{t^{-1}\varepsilon_i}(p_i) \subset V_{\varepsilon_i}(p_i)$, since $t \geq 1$, and $\Sigma(t)$ is a simply connected smooth $(n-1)$ -manifold in $\Omega_{(i)}$ (without boundary). When $n = 3$, the boundary of a cross section $\Sigma(t)$ is a smooth simple closed curve in $\mathcal{S}_{(i)} = \partial\Omega_{(i)}$ which turns around $\Omega_{(i)}$. In particular, it is not homotopic to a point, as it is not its preimage by h in $\mathcal{M}$. Indeed, this preimage is a loop (i.e. a smooth simple closed curve) in $\mathcal{M}$ around p_i , i.e. with p_i in its interior. (In fact, more generally, for $n = 3$ we can define a cross section of $\Omega_{(i)}$ as any $(n-1)$ -manifold in $\Omega_{(i)}$ such that its boundary is the image of a loop in $\mathcal{M}$ around and sufficiently close to p_i , say in $\mathcal{M} \cap \partial V_{\varepsilon_i}(p_i)$. For $n = 2$ we can also define a cross section of $\Omega_{(i)}$ as any curve in $\Omega_{(i)}$ that is the image by H of an arbitrary smooth simple curve in $V_{\varepsilon_i}(p_i)$ connecting the two components of $\mathcal{M} \cap \partial V_{\varepsilon_i}(p_i)$.) Finally, regarding the *cut domain* Ω_t we define it as being the following set: $\Omega_t = H(\mathcal{B} - \cup_{i=1}^k V_{t^{-1}\varepsilon_i}(p_i))$. Notice that the sets Ω_t are bounded and smooth open sets in $\mathbb{R}^n$ (i.e. with smooth boundaries), they satisfy $\Omega_{t_1} \subset \Omega_{t_2}$ if $t_1 < t_2$, and $\Omega = \cup_{t \geq 1} \Omega_t$.

Now that we have set terminologies, we give the idea for a proof on the existence of steady flow in the described set Ω . Analogously to Section 1, we search a velocity $\mathbf{v}$ in the form $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{a}$, where $\mathbf{a}$ is a given vector field defined in Ω such that it is divergence free, $\mathbf{a}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$, it is bounded and has bounded derivatives in $\overline{\Omega}$, and has flux α_i in each end $\Omega_{(i)}$, i.e. $\int_{\Sigma_i} \mathbf{a} = \alpha_i$, for $i = 1, \dots, k$. The construction of such vector field $\mathbf{a}$, as seen in Section 1, is an important step. Let us show how to construct a such vector field in the case $n = 3$. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be oriented by a normal vector field $\tilde{\mathbf{N}}$ pointing to the exterior of $\mathcal{B}$. Considering the class of homotopic loops around the point p_i , $i = 1, \dots, k$, which we denote by $[\gamma_i]$, and assuming that any loop in $\mathcal{M}$ is positively oriented with respect to $\tilde{\mathbf{N}}$, let l_i be a linear functional (defined on the space of singular 1-chains on $\mathcal{M}$) such that $l_i([\gamma_j]) = \alpha_i \delta_{ij}$ (where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta), $i, j = 1, \dots, k$. Then by the de Rham theorem (see e.g. [8, §4.17]) there exists a closed vector field (i.e. a closed 1-form) $\mathbf{b}_i$ on $\mathcal{M}$ such that l_i can be identified to $\mathbf{b}_i$ through the formula $l_i([\gamma]) = \int_{\gamma} \mathbf{b}_i$, for any class $[\gamma]$ of a loop γ in $\mathcal{M}$. Then if we take $\tilde{\mathbf{b}} := \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \mathbf{b}_i$ and let $\mathbf{b}$ be the pullback of $\tilde{\mathbf{b}}$ by h^{-1} , we obtain a tangent vector field $\mathbf{b}$ on $\partial\Omega$ such that its integral on the boundary of any cross section of the outlet $\Omega_{(i)}$ is equal to α_i , for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Next, we can extend $\mathbf{b}$ to Ω , first by extending it to a tubular neighborhood V of $\partial\Omega$ inside Ω , by setting $\mathbf{b}(y, s) = \mathbf{b}(y) + s\mathbf{N}(y)$, for $(y, s) \in V$ (i.e. $y \in \partial\Omega$ and s in some interval $(-\varepsilon_y, 0)$), where $\mathbf{N}$ is the unit normal vector field to $\partial\Omega$ pointing to the exterior of Ω . Then we extend $\mathbf{b}$

to the entire set Ω by multiplying it by a smooth bounded function $\zeta : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that it is equal to 1 on V . Finally, we define $\mathbf{a}$ to be the curl of the vector $\zeta \mathbf{b}$. Then $\mathbf{a}$ is divergence free and if $\Sigma_i(t)$ is a cross section of the outlet $\Omega_{(i)}$ with a normal vector field $\mathbf{n}_i$ pointing to infinity, by Stokes theorem and the construction of $\mathbf{a}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma_i(t)} \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{n}_i &= \int_{\partial \Sigma_i(t)} \zeta \mathbf{b} = \int_{\partial \Sigma_i(t)} \mathbf{b} = \int_{\partial V_{t-1\varepsilon_i}(p_i)} \tilde{\mathbf{b}} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \int_{\partial V_{t-1\varepsilon_i}(p_i)} \mathbf{b}_j = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} l_j([\partial V_{t-1\varepsilon_i}(p_i)]) \\ &= \alpha_i \end{aligned}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, k-1$. For $i = k$ this also holds true, due to the divergence theorem, the condition $\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i = 0$ and the fact that $\mathbf{a}$ is divergence free. Besides, since, by hypothesis, the volumes of the cut domains Ω_t are of order t , i.e. $\int_{\Omega_t} \leq ct$ for some constant c , and the vector field $\mathbf{a}$ is bounded, the estimate i) in Section 1 holds true. Indeed, for new constants c , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_t} |\varphi|^{p'} |\mathbf{a}|^{p'} &\leq c \int_{\Omega_t} |\varphi|^{p'} \leq c \int_{\Omega_t} |\nabla \varphi|^{p'} \\ &\leq c t^{1-p'/p} \left(\int_{\Omega_t} |\nabla \varphi|^p \right)^{p'/p} \\ &= c t^{(p-2)/(p-1)} \|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^p(\Omega_t)}^{p'} \end{aligned}$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$. Thus, the proof for our statement stated at the beginning of this section can be done by following all steps in the proof of Theorem 1.1 [3, Theorem 2.2].

Remark. *In the case that the compact surface $\partial \mathcal{B}$ is of genus zero, the construction of the vector field $\tilde{\mathbf{b}}$ above can be simplified.* Indeed, in this case we can assume, without loss of generality, that $\mathcal{B}$ is the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^3$, i.e. $\partial \mathcal{B}$ is the sphere S^2 , and we can take $\tilde{\mathbf{b}}$ as the pullback by a stereographic projection of a linear combinations of angle forms in the plane. More precisely, let $\Pi : S^2 - \{p_k\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ be the stereographic projection with projection point (“north pole”) p_k (we can take any point $p_1, \dots, p_k$ as the projection point) and ω_i be the 1-form $\omega_i(x, y) = \frac{\alpha_i/2\pi}{(x-a_i)^2+(y-b_i)^2}(-(y-b_i)dy + (x-a_i)dx)$ in $\mathbb{R}^2 - \{\Pi(p_i)\}$, $i = 1, \dots, k-1$, where $(a_i, b_i) = \Pi(p_i)$. Then $\tilde{\mathbf{b}} = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \Pi^* \omega_i$ has the required properties.

REFERENCES

- [1] Amick, C.J. *Steady solutions of the Navier-Stokes Equations in Unbounded Channels and Pipes*. Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl.Sci. (4), **4**(3) (1977) 473-513.
- [2] Beirão da Veiga, H., Kaplický, H. and Růžička, M. *Boundary regularity of shear thickening flows*. J. Math. Fluid Mech. **13** (2011), no. 3, 387-404.

- [3] Dias, G. and Santos, M.M. *Steady flow for shear thickening fluids with arbitrary fluxes*, J. Differential Equations **252** (2012), no. 6, 3873-3898
- [4] Galdi, G.P. *An Introduction to the Mathematical Theory of the Navier-Stokes Equations*, Vol. I e II. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.
- [5] Ladyzhenskaya, O.A. and Solonnikov, V.A. *Determination of the solutions of boundary value problems for steady-state Stokes and Navier-Stokes equations in domains having an unbounded Dirichlet integral*. Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov (LOMI), **96** (1980) 117-160. English Transl.: J. Soviet Math., **21** (1983) 728-761.
- [6] Neff, P. *On Korn's first inequality with non-constant coefficients*. Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **132**(1) (2002) 221-243.
- [7] Solonnikov, V. A. and Pileckas, K. I. *Certain spaces of solenoidal vectors, and the solvability of a boundary value problem for a system of Navier-Stokes equations in domains with noncompact boundaries*. Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI) **73** (1977) 136-151. English Transl.: J. Soviet Math. **34** (1986) 2101-2111.
- [8] Warner, F. W. *Foundations of Differentiable Manifolds and Lie Groups*. Scott, Foresman and Co., Glenview, Ill.-London, 1971.

UNICAMP (STATE UNIVERSITY OF CAMPINAS), IMECC-DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RUA SÉRGIO BUARQUE DE HOLANDA, 651 CIDADE UNIVERSITÁRIA "ZEFERINO VAZ" DISTR. BARÃO GERALDO, CAMPINAS - SÃO PAULO (SP) - BRASIL, CEP 13083-859

E-mail address: msantos@ime.unicamp.br